

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action issued September 29, 2005, Claims 1, 9, and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶1 as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 1-3, 9-11, 17-19, and 25-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Immonen et al. (Immonen), U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0077993 in view of Major et al. (Major), U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0073626 A1. Claims 5-8, 13-16, and 21-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Immonen, in view of Major, and further in view of Dutta et al. (Dutta), U.S. Patent No. 6,615,212.

Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13-19, and 21-27 are now pending in this application. Claims 1, 9, and 17 have been amended to resolve any new matter issues and claim objections.

The applicant respectfully submits that the present invention, according to claims 1-3, 9-11, 17-19, and 25-27 is not unpatentable over Immonen in view of Major because even if Immonen and Major were combined as suggested by the Examiner, the result would not be the present invention, as claimed. Immonen discloses a method and system for conducting payments with a wireless terminal by a user in exchange for goods and services rendered by a merchant. In particular, Immonen discloses that if content is only available in HTML format, the WAP gateway may attempt to translate it into WML, which is then sent on to the WAP client via wireless transmission.

Major discloses an information browser system and method that enables sending of information requests to remote information sources and receiving of requested information from the remote sources on a wireless communication device. Information

in any of a plurality of formats, including WML, HTML, and WML- Script, is converted into a format in which the information can be displayed or otherwise further processed by the device.

By contrast, for example, according to claim 1, requires scanning content generated by the application program to locate at least one translatable form that requests information from the user. This required element of the present invention is not disclosed or suggested by Immonen, Major, or the combination of Immonen and Major. The combination of Immonen and Major only discloses translating an entire content, and does not disclose or suggest scanning the content to locate at least one translatable form that requests information from the user.

Therefore, the present invention, according to claim 1, and according to claims 9 and 17, which are similar to claim 1, and according to claims 2-3, 10-11, 18-19, and 25-27, which depend therefrom, is not unpatentable over Immonen in view of Major.

The applicant respectfully submits that the present invention, according to claims 5-8, 13-16, and 21-24 is not unpatentable over Immonen, in view of Major, and further in view of Dutta because even if Immonen, Major, and Dutta were combined as suggested by the Examiner, the result would not be the present invention, as claimed. Dutta discloses a method in a data processing system for providing content from a distributed database to a client. A transcoding proxy server receives a request for content from a client machine, retrieves the content from an originating server, and transcodes the content from the first format type into the second format type, and sends the content in the second format to the client. Dutta does not disclose or suggest scanning content

generated by the application program to locate at least one translatable form that requests information from the user.

Thus, the combination of Immonen, Major, and Dutta still fails to disclose or suggest the required element of scanning content generated by the application program to locate at least one translatable form that requests information from the user.

Therefore, the present invention, according to claims 5-8, 13-16, and 21-24, which depend from claims 1, 9, and 17, is not unpatentable over Immonen in view of Major, and further in view of Dutta.

Each of the claims now pending in this application is believed to define an invention that is novel and nonobvious over the prior art of record. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration of this case and early issuance of the Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested.


Additional Fees:

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 19-5127 (19111.0061).

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, all of the Examiner's rejections to the claims are believed to be overcome. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all the claims remaining in the application. Should the Examiner feel further communication would facilitate prosecution, he is urged to call the undersigned at the phone number provided below.

Respectfully Submitted,



Michael A. Schwartz
Reg. No. 40,161

Dated: March 17, 2006

Bingham McCutchen LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 424-7500